***THE HON'BLE SRI JUSTICE L.NARASIMHA REDDY***

***W.P.No.25343 of 2005***

***Date:21.12.2005***

A.Saidi Reddy.

--------PETITIONER

The A.P.TRANSCO and another.

---------RESPONDENTS

**ORDER:**

The petitioners claim that they have worked under Labour Contractors engaged with the erstwhile Andhra Pradesh State Electricity Board (for short 'the Board') under different categories, and seek the benefit provided for under B.P.Ms.No.37, dated 18.05.1987.

Heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and the learned Standing Counsel for the respondents.

Except stating that they were engaged by some licenced contractors, the petitioners have not furnished the details, as to the existence of any contract, in favour of the Labour Contractors, awarded by the Board or that the engagement in such works was certified by the competent authority. Extension of the benefit provided for under B.P.Ms.No.37 would depend upon several factors, such as, existence of a contract in favour of a labour contractor, the work having been one of the prohibited categories, the person making the claim having been engaged by the labour contractor, the existence of certification by the engineering staff and thereafter, compliance with the provisions of B.P.Ms.No.37. When none of these factors are shown to have been complied

with, in the instant case, this Court does not find any basis to grant relief to the petitioners.

For the foregoing reasons, the Writ Petition is dismissed. It is, however, left open to the petitioners to come forward with the necessary particulars referred to above, and to make a suitable application, if it is not otherwise barred by law. There shall be no order as to costs.

_____

**21.12.2005**

**Jsu**